

From: [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Question about public comments received by PSC in case 2020-00174
Date: Wednesday, November 4, 2020 4:18:00 PM

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner
Administrative Branch Manager
Filings Branch
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

From: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2020 5:08 PM
To: PSC Executive Director <PSCED@ky.gov>
Subject: FW: Question about public comments received by PSC in case 2020-00174

****CAUTION** PDF attachments may contain links to malicious sites. Please contact the COT Service Desk ServiceCorrespondence@ky.gov for any assistance.**

These are the case comments that did not make it to us due to problem with KFTC portal.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks.

Karen

From: Nikita Perumal [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, November 1, 2020 5:09 PM
To: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Cc: Jacob Mack-Boll [REDACTED]; Lisa Abbott [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Question about public comments received by PSC in case 2020-00174

****CAUTION** PDF attachments may contain links to malicious sites. Please contact the COT Service Desk ServiceCorrespondence@ky.gov for any assistance.**

Hi Karen,

As promised here are the 222 public comments people submitted via KFTC's website email form, up to 10/29, that we believe never made it to the PSC.info@ky.gov inbox. As you'll see, some of the comments follow a form letter format, and some of them are versions of the form letter that have been customized by individual Kentuckians.

For your convenience, I've attached the comments in both Word and PDF formats. I'm pretty sure I excluded the handful of comments that *did* make it to the PSC/get processed on the PSC website, but apologies if I missed one or two. Please let me know if you need anything else from me!

There may be a few more that have trickled in after 10/29, as well, and I can get those to you later on in the week.

Best,
Nikita

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/3/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust engagement process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's "2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States" technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on Kentucky Power's proposal. Only broad public input will allow the commission to hear directly from eastern Kentuckians about what the impact of the new rates would be. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in

or otherwise submit input) that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tina Jackson

322 S Jefferson St
Louisa, KY
41230-1316

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/9/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ruth Slone

345 Elk Fork Rd
Hazard, KY
41701-7741

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/11/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Debbie Kent

523 Coal Hollow Rd
Pikeville, KY
41501-6482

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/11/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please do not accept the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has put forth in case no. 2020-00174.

Like many Kentuckians, I feel strongly about this rate case because the commission's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also important for the PSC to listen to the voices of all utilities' ratepayers in a case like this, especially when people who are already suffering through a pandemic are explaining they cannot afford a rate increase. Ratepayers are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates.

The right process in this matter compels the PSC to take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for following best procedures in this important commission—we are counting on your members to work in the best interests of our commonwealth!

Sincerely,

Karyn Hinkle

3140 Chatham Dr
Lexington, KY
40503-2724

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/11/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust engagement process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's 2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on Kentucky Power's proposal. Only broad public input will allow the commission to hear directly from eastern Kentuckians about what the impact of the new rates would be. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public

hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input) that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Corey Dutton

1621 Deer Ln
Louisville, KY
40205-1215

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/11/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. Please follow the right process that puts Kentuckians first and values solar energy for what it's worth! Solar power is better for the life of our planet.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's 2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do

this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input) that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration. Kathy Wallace

Sincerely,

Kathy Wallace

2120 Murray Ave
Louisville, KY
40205-1321

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/11/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Alexander Eberle

249 E Reynolds Rd
Lexington, KY
40517-1288

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/11/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Samuel Merrick

PO Box 699
Nancy, KY
42544-0699

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/12/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's 2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input)

that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Gloria Stepp

586 Old River Rd
Salt Lick, KY
40371-8737

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/12/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Virginia Roll

324 Riverview Dr
Hazard, KY
41701-1156

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/12/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's 2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input) that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at

least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mary North

67 Whitaker Way
Hazard, KY
41701-1564

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/13/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Leda Tilton

396 S 3rd St
Richmond, KY
40475-2106

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/13/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite. 2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me. 3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all. 4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. We live in a very poor area and most people are already struggling between that and this virus pandemic! We can not handle a a 25% increase added to our already outrageous power bills! Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Thomas Thompson

35 Right Fork Mill Br
Ashcamp, KY
41512-8578

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/14/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hagan

1295 Holy Cross Rd
Loretto, KY
40037-8128

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/14/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's 2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input)

that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

THE PEOPLE OF KENTUCKY DESERVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DECISIONS ABOUT THE FUTURE OF ENERGY PRODUCTION AND RATES.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Robinson

318 Sheridan Dr
Lexington, KY
40503-1821

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/14/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Because of the catastrophic effects of fossil fuel generated electricity on the environment, we should be doing all we can to encourage the installation of Solar and wind generated power. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. Since KU was granted a rate increase just two years ago, and fuel prices have not risen significantly since then, another 25% increase at this time seems unjustified. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all. Just keep the rates as they are now.

4. Advanced metering has not been shown to offer any great advantages in other regions of the country. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal

implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jesse Weil

3451 Redcoach Trl
Lexington, KY
40517-2239

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/15/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's 2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input)

that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Judy Goldsmith

325 Mcdowell Rd
Lexington, KY
40502-1823

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/15/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely Cheyenne King

Sincerely,

Cheyenne king

299 Red William Napier Rd
Hyden, KY
41749-8212

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's "2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States" technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input)

that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joyce Adkins

899 Hays Lodge Rd
Smiths Grove, KY
42171-9140

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's "2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States" technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input)

that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ashleigh McGuire

3352 Tahoe Rd
Lexington, KY
40515-1708

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/20/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Good Evening, I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. Solar power is part of our state's future. Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's 2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input) that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at

least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mia Coleman

8802 Marksfield Rd
Louisville, KY
40222-5296

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/21/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Shiayne Moore

2401 Turkey Crk
Langley, KY
41645-9023

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Pamela Maggard

187 Main St
Sassafras, KY
41759-9088

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. People pay too much for power as it is. No more gauging. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John Webster

389 Plainview Rd
Lexington, KY
40517-4241

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. Kentucky Power does not exercise efficient management, for services such as contracting out, Personnel management, to Equipment management.

When a power outage occurs, I have seen ten Elliott Contracting trucks and crews with only one Kentucky Power crew on site, with nine contractor truck and crew parked off to the side watching one truck and crew work. All are getting paid plus Elliott's management and owners.

KY Power uses contractor to clear right of way, there must not be any oversight as it takes up to a month to clear three hundred yards, a distancing that should only take two days with the man power being used.

Stop by the Pikeville KY Power office and note the vehicles in the parking lot, KY Power cars, pickups, bucket trucks, money sitting being wasted. Check out the offices, what work in the office can require so many? Then there's the Grants, How is it that KY Power can over charge to raise money to give away, taking money from the customer to promote their public image, not through efficient reasonably priced services. but through robbing the customer.

Waste is evident everywhere, they (Kentucky Power) have no incentive to manage for effective uses of resources. As power outages occur due to equipment wearing out or having not being replaced in a timely manner, or right of ways having not being cleared they are giving a grant to do the repairs or a rate increase to cover the cost. Costs which have all ready paid for but wasted by Kentucky Power. all paid for by the public.

When I thought about going into business for myself, I asked about power service, the power line are within four hundred feet of the proposed location. My estimated cost was quoted as Twenty Two Thousand Dollars plus a monthly Guaranteed power usage fee.

Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Thomas Charles

162 King Rd
Ashcamp, KY
41512-8751

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Misty Baker

2030 Krypton Lick Branch Rd
Hazard, KY
41701-6474

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration. Our Coal Mining lives were hard enough;
Work/Jobs are Scarce for Eastern Kentuckians... We on Social Security Each Year
ARE LOSING our Battle to stay ahead of a decent livelihood. We Cannot Bare an
Increase in Our Electric Bills!

Sincerely,

Monta Roberts

6850 Robinson Creek Rd
Robinson Creek, KY
41560-8531

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ashley Dindal

1032 McClure Branch Rd
Louisa, KY
41230-6823

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Anita Hall

25 Schoolhouse Br
Morehead, KY
40351-9022

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Janice Stamper

699 Longs Creek Rd
Booneville, KY
41314-9529

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Also please take in to consideration the impact of even just \$20.00 more a month will have on the elderly trying to live on a fixed income and having to choose between food, medicine or heat. I know this because I myself am one of those elderly. Please do not make it any more harder than it already is.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Opal Slone

38 Eagles Nest Rd
Emmalena, KY
41740-9037

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

BELINDA WRIGHT

454 Old Beefhide Rd
Jenkins, KY
41537-849

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Cinderella Napier

9571 Highway 1098
Jackson, KY
41339-9548

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Sizemore

9571 Highway 1098
Jackson, KY
41339-9548

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please do not raise our bill. Struggling now to get caught up from being laid off..

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.
2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.
3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.
4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your

regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Barbara Adams

69 Adams Ln
Redfox, KY
41847-8964

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Why is it fair for people who can barely afford their electricity as it is, to pay more? Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Hayes

109 Hess Dr
Salyersville, KY
41465

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Scotty Pratt Cornett

165 Steer Fork Rd
Mallie, KY
41836-8885

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Billie Bates

Same
Neon, KY
41840

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

april tackett

456 Old Beefhide Rd
Jenkins, KY
41537-8494

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Clyde Napier

PO Box 467
Jackson, KY
41339-0467

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

connie combs

2184 Big Smith Br
Sassafras, KY
41759-9041

FROM:

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ranie Neace

85 Daymond Dr
Whick, KY
41390-9704

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

In a time where we are starting to really see the cost of global warming on our planet it seems that your proposals serve to make that worse not better. You seem to be rewarding hurting the planet even more. If people are incentivized to use more power to pay less OR disincentivized to seek the use of solar power; both of which are detrimental to the cause of fighting global warming.

The health of the planet isn't the only reason I personally would be against this. I, also, think it's in poor taste to make changes like this in the middle of a global pandemic. Our economy is in bad shape. People are out of work. The very least part you could play is not increasing the burden on your customers during an already burdensome time. People shouldn't be faced with changes like this when they're already having to choose whether to buy groceries or pay bills on time.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Misty Puckett

635 Flint Br
Salyersville, KY
41465-8560

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Vernia Taylor

21 Dodge Dr
Whitesburg, KY
41858-7712

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's "2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States" technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input)

that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

sandy justice

32 Short St
Betsy Layne, KY
41605-7040

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lana Layne

1250 Little Perry Rd
Morehead, KY
40351-7824

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Pamela Allen

203 Hatfield Dr
Prestonsburg, KY
41653-9140

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jessica Miller

8421 Highway 542
Jackson, KY
41339-9532

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tara Musgrave

247 Lafferty Ln
Prestonsburg, KY
41653-8848

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Barbara Worden

3021 Montgomery Ave
Ashland, KY
41101-3049

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Also all the grants and donations given every year are great for the communities who receive it, but how many people could be assisted with their bills with some of this money going to ease the rates. AEP says it cares about its customers but keep raising the rates. This is good for the stock holders but the customers are the ones paying.. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

William Petry

534 Trimble Br
Prestonsburg, KY
41653-7718

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration. S. Globig

Sincerely,

Sabine Globig

37 Brachs Ln
Hazard, KY
41701-6014

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Eunice Luther

17056 KY Route 979
Beaver, KY
41604-9042

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Gary Wooton

85 Daymond Dr
Whick, KY
41390-9704

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Hall

50 Dodge Dr
Whitesburg, KY
41858-7712

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Robert Blankenship

84 Left Beaver Creek Rd
Minnie, KY
41651-9012

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Harold Kilburn

25 Slayrean Dr
Jackson, KY
41339-6542

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Irene Dotson

3764 Woodman Creek Rd
Stopover, KY
41568-8740

FROM:

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Timothy Caudill

31 Mayo Trailer Ct
Prestonsburg, KY
41653-7804

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Patricia Stallard

96 Carousel Dr
Mayking, KY
41837-8933

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Amanda Rowland

325 KY Route 777
Langley, KY
41645-652

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Janet STURGILL

860 Black Hawk Rd
Kite, KY
41828-8928

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Teresa Scott

127
Paintsville, KY
41240

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Haynes

117 Taylor Pratt Ln
Cornettsville, KY
41731-8958

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bernice Combs

117 Taylor Pratt Ln
Cornettsville, KY
41731-8958

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Maudie Dixon

607 KY Highway 463
Slemp, KY
41763-9009

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

As a family of 5 with 3 children , one working adult and I'm going back to college we can't afford a rate increase. I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tony Sizemore

44 Noah Sizemore Hill Rd
Hazard, KY
41701-5481

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Crystal Wilson

795 Bee Hive Rd
Slemp, KY
41763-8907

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am asking you to please consider the individuals who must pay these bills instead of the large companies. I used to be considered middle class income but mainly because of larger utility bills we are now considered lower class. Our electric bill and water/septic bills combined are almost \$500 a month and occasionally over this. To some people this is nothing, but to most people in Eastern Kentucky this is a huge amount to pay. Please don't allow the large companies to punish our people any more. If any changes are made it should be to lessen the rates instead of increasing them. Please help us.

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.
2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.
3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic. I feel that you know these increases are not justified and will only hurt the people.

Please help us by not allowing the large companies to make huge profits at our expense. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Johnson

PO Box 356
Virgie, KY
41572-0356

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jim Tyree

63 Hiram Ln
Emmalena, KY
41740-9132

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Debbie Kent

523 Coal Hollow Rd
Pikeville, KY
41501-6482

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Barb Adkins

73 Beetree Fork Rd
Viper, KY
41774-8055

FROM:

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

carolyn hurley

628 Harolds Branch Rd
Pikeville, KY
41501-9332

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Audrey Borders

115 Eversole St
Hazard, KY
41701-1325

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Gerald Robinson

154 Adkins Ln
Pikeville, KY
41501-6143

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Johnny Pugh

227 Thompson Br
Pikeville, KY
41501-3532

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nancy McWain

2614 Adams St
Ashland, KY
41102-6004

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

wanda hill

11902 Highway 172
Redbush, KY
41219

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

nancy robinette

253 Flower Garden Holw
Turkey Creek, KY
41514-8022

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Khaled Hassan

91 Mary Dr
Inez, KY
41224

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/27/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Carl Bell

4850 Will Scarlet Ct
Ashland, KY
41101-6864

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/27/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dawn M Fugate

145 Park Rd
Jackson, KY
41339-8600

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/27/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

Many of our local community centers rely on solar energy to pay their bills. Without these community centers, our quality of life will radically decrease. Solar energy is a good option for the consumer, and a good option for the future of our species. Utility companies must be willing to adjust to this inevitable diversification of energy consumption.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

We must come up with more creative solutions for supporting our communities.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Finney

344 Whitco Loop
Whitesburg, KY
41858-7481

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/27/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sandra Blankenship

57 Maynard Hl
Burnwell, KY
41514-7726

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/27/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

This is a bad year to gouge people for more money. I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lisa Black

1601 Horn Hollow Rd
Wurtland, KY
41144-7503

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/27/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Rita Young

35 Leatherwood Ln
Dana, KY
41615-9047

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

As someone who cares about Kentucky's future and the environment, I installed 90 solar panels on my barn roof to cover the majority of the needs for my total-electric property. Solar is THE most efficient energy producer for the majority of Kentuckians. It is absolutely wrong for profit-motivated dirty-energy producers to charge extra fees and not reimburse solar producers at the same kilowatt-hour rate as they charge for coal-produced electricity. DO NOT facilitate the destruction of the Commonwealth's environment by letting that happen.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's "2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States" technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input) that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jen Warner

2510 Stevens Rd
Petersburg, KY
41080-9333

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future.

Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic. I'm on a set income and I can't afford to pay a higher electric bill than what I pay now

Thank you for your consideration. I'm on a set income I'm not able to work anymore. I can't afford to pay a higher bill than what I'm paying for now. Barely have money left for grocery.

Sincerely,

Johnny Fields

3542 N Big Creek Rd
Belfry, KY
41514-8528

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Darlene Eversole

1494 Big Creek Rd
Hazard, KY
41701-6414

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Glenda Walters

109 Lancelot Ct
Pikeville, KY
41501-1303

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

wyvonna davis

1120 Forest Park Ln
Paintsville, KY
41240-1431

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Cynthia McKenzie I

5523 Gillium Cemetery Rd
Ashland, KY
41102-8182

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Stephen Brewer

407 Mellowbrook Ln
Harold, KY
41635-7036

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

nancy robinette

253 Flower Garden Holw
Turkey Creek, KY
41514-8022

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future.

Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Michelle Pratt

165 Steer Fork Rd
Mallie, KY
41836-8885

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

We live in a rural area of Breathitt County. KY Power is our only option for electricity. Our costs have continued to increase to the point that we are getting close to not being able to pay the bill. We are both retired and live on a fixed income that never gets an increase. We oppose any increase in cost by Ky Power..we do not get good service from them. Always the last area to get service back on when the power is off.

This increase will put an extreme handicap on our finances as we would have to make cuts to our medicines, food, etc. to continue to pay the service. Already have a lot of extra charges attached that no one can explain to us what they are for. Hope that you can help us in preventing this proposal.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James and Ollie Turner

22 Smiley Br
Jackson, KY
41339-7596

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

My husband and I are both retired and like many others are on a fixed income, it is very hard for us to save any money because everything is going up but our checks!!!!

Please do not increase the electric rates.

Sincerely,

Michiyo Cook Newsome

13 Marion Branch Rd
Ashcamp, KY
41512-8552

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future.

Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lisa WILLIAMS

28 Glider Ave
Hazard, KY
41701-5475

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

Stop raising rates when most people can barely afford power as it is. A lot of people in this area are already having trouble paying their electric bills, because we live on money that falls well below poverty level. You already making impossible to even figure out what our electric bill will be every month, because you charge a different rate per KWH every month. Stop changing the rates, and stop trying to increase things even more than they already are.

1. We don't don't need smart meters,because the meters we have work just fine.
2. We don't want the rates structured differently in the winter because our bills get jacked up every winter as it is and people already can't afford to pay their electric bills in the winter. We are poor, and living well below poverty level as it is. We don't the rates increased to make things even worse.
3. Stop trying to make things harder people who use solar, just because you want more money. People use solar to lower their bills because you charge too much as it is and people can't afford electricity. We are already living pretty much in the dark because we can barely afford to turn on a light in our own homes, because you charge so much.
4. Stop trying to raise the rates even more than they already are. Lower the rates so people can afford to live.The way you have the rates right now we can barely afford to cook, because it raises the bill so much.
5. If you truly care about your customers, Stop charging a different rate every month. LOWER the rates. STOP the monopoly.

Have ONE SET RATE all the time and make the rate MUCH LOWER than what it is now. We should be able to keep track of how much we are using so we can figure out what our bill is going to be every month. STOP being so greedy. HELP people be able to live, don't make it harder than it already is.

Sincerely,

Jane compton

148 Douglas Pkwy
Pikeville, KY
41501-6875

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/30/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sharon Hobson

23 N Riverview Ln
Prestonsburg, KY
41653-1419

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/30/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

If Kentucky Power stopped running all those TV ads and wasting our money they would not have to ask for an increase. Why advertise Kentucky Power when we DO NOT have another option?

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sharon Poff

1599 Fourseam Branch Rd
Hazard, KY
41701-6278

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/30/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Connie Hughes

190 Bill King Holw
Pikeville, KY
41501-9300

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

9/30/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Raymond Whitaker

74 Augustus Ct
Hazard, KY
41701-7135

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/1/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Brenda Martin

121 Audobon Ct
Russell, KY
41169-1501

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/1/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's "2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States" technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input)

that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Robert Pyette

142 Oakwood Dr
Prestonsburg, KY
41653-9178

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/2/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Margaret Mays

274 Pine Hill Dr
Jackson, KY
41339-9682

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/2/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's "2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States" technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC's process must include updates to the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines, which the Commission expressed an intention to do in December 2019 (in the final order of case 2019-00256). These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input)

that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Justin Mog

800 Goullon Ct
Louisville, KY
40204-2009

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/4/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Terena Slone

4049 Greasy Crk
Shelbiana, KY
41562-8510

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/5/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Marsha Boggs

97 Holder Holw
Mallie, KY
41836-9051

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/5/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Hagan Bush

42 James St
Pikeville, KY
41501-6171

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/5/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Terry Jones

25366 KY Route 122
Melvin, KY
41650-9073

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/6/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

diana nave

23 Wolfpen Rd
Ary, KY
41712-8730

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/9/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Shirley Helton

1992 W Puncheon Crk
Salyersville, KY
41465-6536

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/12/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Wanda Brown

2257 Pratt Mountain Rd
Viper, KY
41774-7922

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/15/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Utility companies currently have record low financing rates and fuel costs and record high executive compensation. Many families in eastern Kentucky were past the financial breaking point even before COVID-19. Utilities like Kentucky Power are monopolies that must incorporate the public good in doing business. This is not the time to impose rate increases that will devastate low-income homes with children and seniors.

Kentucky Power's net metering proposal also tragically reduces the value of rooftop solar. It would block eastern Kentuckians from benefiting from the advancing revolution in clean solar technology. The current Kentucky Power rate request and attack on solar demonstrates a failure of the parent company, American Electric Power (AEP), of even reasonable business planning. For nearly 40 years, demographic science has shown the need for utilities to plan for a smaller customer base in eastern Kentucky. For nearly 40 years, climate science has shown the need to move beyond fossil fuels.

Even AEP has admitted that climate change remains a significant risk. Had their highly paid executives properly planned, they would not be asking eastern Kentuckians to now pay for their business blunders. What kind of leadership have these millionaire executives demonstrated? Only a monopoly could be given a 40 year guidebook to the future of its business, fail to properly plan, and now ask the customers to pay more money.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tom Vierheller

2020 KY Route 1426
Banner, KY
41603-9070

FROM:

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

carolyn hurley

628 Harolds Branch Rd
Pikeville, KY
41501-9332

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Chasity SowardsSowards

2183 Motley Rd
Feds Creek, KY
41524-8447

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nancy Price

225 State Route 693
Greenup, KY
41144-8026

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

David Bartley

1921 Sycamore Rd
Pikeville, KY
41501-5323

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

PAUL W. MILES

1572 Glomawr Stormking Rd
Hazard, KY
41701-6134

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Randy Gambler Sr

4531 Elkhorn Crk
Elkhorn City, KY
41522-7211

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Hampton

3145 Collins Hwy
Pikeville, KY
41501-6809

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nancy Robinette

253 Flower Garden Holw
Belfry, KY
41514-8022

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

If there's a rate increase in the eastern Kentucky that's going to make it harder on the people who is trying to make it. A lot of the people in eastern Kentucky is either on a fixed income or disabled and has been out of work and can't find anything! It's hard enough for someone on ssi or ss to try to get all the money up for a big deposit and then a \$200-\$800 dollar electric bill each month. Have the thought of trying to live on \$783 a month or \$1200 after you try to pay all your bills your lucky to have them all paid and to have food to!!! Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Ray

1077 Left Fork Of Island Crk
Pikeville, KY
41501-7256

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Missy G

GENERAL DELIVERY
Redfox, KY
41847-9999

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Pamela slone

13 Wolfpen Rd
Mallie, KY
41836

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/16/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. I can't afford a rate increase. All companies keep raising our bills but my work has cut hours. We can't afford another rate increase. Get the CEO of Kentucky Power to take a cut in pay instead. It's not fair to the people to keep raising the rates. Please use your

regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration. Sherri Wright Concerned Customer

Sincerely,

Sherri Wright

PO Box 487
Martin, KY
41649-0487

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/17/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite. Those who can utilize solar power should not be penalized!

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me. Kentucky Power has a long history of increasing rates and providing less than optimal customer service. Power outages in the Northeastern Kentucky area were addressed much more quickly in the 60s and 70s than they are today in a time of modern technology and the only time I would even consider another rate increase would be if jobs were created by opening local offices to address customer concerns.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to

warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future.

I would suggest an investment in the people of this country. More affordable energy increases businesses which increase jobs which allow people to spend and grow the economy. Instead, the focus appears to be on those who have no concept of the everyday struggle to feed a family and survive. Also, it is absolutely appalling to open the newspaper and read how Kentucky Power is giving money first to one and then another in local communities under the false pretense of building an economy. Donating money to a food bank is commendable; however, addressing the reason we need food banks seems more appropriate. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kenny and Candy Messer

18228 State Route 3
Catlettsburg, KY
41129-9325

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/17/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nancy McWain

2614 Adams St
Ashland, KY
41102-6004

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/17/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Julie Sharp

119 Cumberland Ct
Pikeville, KY
41501-1705

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/17/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Rebekah Neace

1732 Flat Gap Rd
Bonnyman, KY
41719-9043

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/17/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Beatrice Meade

3870 Frasure Crk
East Mc Dowell, KY
41647-9098

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/17/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Billie jo Marcum

3845 Logan St
Ashland, KY
41101-4969

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/17/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Verna Smith

1006 Runyon Branch Rd
Pinsonfork, KY
41555-7410

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/17/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

darrel blair

2946 Highway 1148
Isom, KY
41824-9063

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/17/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nancy Simpkins

650 Trace Branch Rd
Krypton, KY
41754-9028

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Barbara Worden

3021 Montgomery Ave
Ashland, KY
41101-3049

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Darlene Eversole

1494 Big Creek Rd
Hazard, KY
41701-6414

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Furthermore some of us already pay a non-fair decommissioning fee that should have come out of profits and NOT transferred to the consumer. They are greedy, uncaring and sneaky company that slips in charges saying they need it to 'keep the lights on' while at the same time bragging to their share holders how much more money they made during the year!

Thank you for your consideration.
Sincerely,

James Jackson

5330 State Highway 773
Hitchins, KY
41146-8907

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration. We pay to much now so know

Sincerely,

Carolyn Bush

287 Rome Napier Rd
Hazard, KY
41701-7334

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

A lot of people already have to choose between paying there utility bills or eating there are already people that freeze in the winter because they can't afford the power bill please put the people first

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lora Rogers

344 High St
Virgie, KY
41572-8003

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Barbara Roberts

38 Elster Robinson Rd
Robinson Creek, KY
41560-8539

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tammy Bowling

82 Improvement Br
Jenkins, KY
41537-8740

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joel Carter

2990 E US Highway 60
Grayson, KY
41143-7946

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Shan Weinfurtnner

2813 Moore St
Ashland, KY
41101-3911

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Shelia Ray

3168 Brushy Rd
Varney, KY
41571-9014

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Eunice Morgan

PO Box 229
Inez, KY
41224-0229

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Debra Ratliff

658 Right Fork Of Island Crk
Pikeville, KY
41501-7112

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

andrew gorski

13715 Cranston Rd
Morehead, KY
40351-8112

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

shannon hamilton

PO Box 347
Lexington, KY
40588-0347

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/18/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Angela HURLEY

162 Georges Branch Rd
Vicco, KY
41773-8912

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/19/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Anna Smith

460 Tom Biggs Rd
Mc Roberts, KY
41835-9083

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/19/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.
2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.
3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.
4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Christy Deaton

211 Highland Ave
Hazard, KY
41701-1337

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/19/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Susan Bays

233 1/2 Etna St
Russell, KY
41169-1303

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/19/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sherry Sanders

49 Biggs Br
Pikeville, KY
41501-8132

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/20/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Brenda Farmer

854 Little Stinnett Rd
Stinnett, KY
40868-6520

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/20/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Judy Mullins

4469 Elkhorn Creek Rd
Elkhorn City, KY
41522

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/20/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Larry Mullins

297 Turkey Pen Rd
Virgie, KY
41572

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/20/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Daniel Jones

2700 Reed St
Flatwoods, KY
41139-1986

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/20/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

gail heavner

1307 Clark St
Flatwoods, KY
41139-1513

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/20/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Laura Griffith

2 Frazier Ave
Whitesburg, KY
41858-7792

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/20/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Robin Maynard

308 4th Ave
Worthington, KY
41183-9431

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/20/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Vivian Thompson

1613 Montgomery Ave
Ashland, KY
41101-2715

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/20/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Scotty Pratt

165 Steer Fork Rd
Mallie, KY
41836-8885

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/20/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, inner case no. 2020-00174

I'm on Social Security a fixed income it's hard to try and pay bills and eat. Now they are wanting another increase it hasn't been that long ago that they received a rate increase and I've not seen a benefit from it. My power bill has increased for yrs and when it goes out there's trees on the lines which should be kept off the lines in my opinion. I live in Eastern Ky where we have very little jobs they coal mines have all shut down and the younger generation is having to leave their homes to find work. Please consider all the families that struggle to live. Thank you for your time.

I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income

customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Betty Cook

19246 KY Route 122
Hi Hat, KY
41636-6225

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

PAUL W. MILES

1572 Glomawr Stormking Rd
Hazard, KY
41701-6134

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Donnie Shepherd

381 Dogwood Dr
Hunter, KY
41655-8905

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Harold Knowlton

838 Pinsonfork Rd
Mc Andrews, KY
41543-8003

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tiana Knowlton

838 Pinsonfork Rd
Mc Andrews, KY
41543-8003

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Knowlton

838 Pinsonfork Rd
Mc Andrews, KY
41543-8003

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Barbara Gillman

13173 N Big Creek Rd
Hatfield, KY
41514-9002

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Danny Turnmyre

11984 Highway 805
Jenkins, KY
41537-8067

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Scotty Pratt

165 Steer Fork Rd
Mallie, KY
41836-8885

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174.

Although I am not a Kentucky Power ratepayer, I feel a strong interest in this rate case because the PSC's decision on net metering rates for Kentucky Power will set a crucial precedent for the future of rooftop solar in other regulated utilities across the state. It is also in my interest as a ratepayer in Kentucky that the PSC follow a robust process for hearing - and truly listening to - the voices of a utility's ratepayers in a case like this. With this in mind, I urge the Commission to pay careful attention to PROCESS in this rate case.

1. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers that the PSC signs off on must be grounded in a careful analysis of the costs AND the benefits that distributed solar brings to the utility. These benefits include the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, and health and environmental benefits. The quantifiable health benefits from the EPA's 2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States technical report, as well as processes developed by other states that have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis, would be valuable resources to consider. The PSC should also require Kentucky Power to prove, with utility-specific data, the existence of any costs and cost-shifting imposed by the old net metering system.

2. The PSC is in the middle of updating the 2008 Interconnection Guidelines. These guidelines must be updated prior to the adoption of new net metering rates for any utility.

3. Any attempt to raise rates during this precarious economic moment must fully consider the impact that these increased rates will have on a utility's ratepayers, which are entitled to fair, just, and reasonable rates. It is therefore crucial that the PSC take ample time to solicit, and truly incorporate, broad public input on how Kentucky Power's proposed rate increase would impact ratepayers. In order to do this, the Commission should offer at least three public hearings (preferably virtual, but with clear ways for those without internet to dial in or otherwise submit input) that are held outside of working hours and that are announced to the public with at

least one month's notice. The Commission should also communicate clearly with the public how they intend to incorporate trends from public comments into their final order on the rate case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Scotty Pratt

165 Steer Fork Rd
Mallie, KY
41836-8885

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Carla Knowlton

838 Pinsonfork Rd
Mc Andrews, KY
41543-8003

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lige Williams

8372 Wolf Creek Rd
Wooton, KY
41776-8686

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/22/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

jason smith

4069 Millers Crk
Pikeville, KY
41501-5845

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jimmy Westerfield

PO Box 172
Avawam, KY
41713-0172

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

brenda tackett

262 Rockhouse Frk
Virgie, KY
41572-8712

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Thacker Budz

6218 Ridgewood Ct
Florence, KY
41042-9715

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

JANELL WHITE

451 AMILS MOUNTAIN Rd
Emmalena, KY
41740

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Leah Hayden

120 Rose St
Paintsville, KY
41240-1491

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

I'm on a fixed income as are most people. A rate increase would put a **HARDSHIP** on us all. I'm praying that the PSC **WILL NOT ALLOW** the increase you are asking for. You need to consider all aspects of this matter. There are folks that can't even afford to pay for their bill as it is right now.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs **AND** the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart

meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely Mollie Blackburn

Sincerely,

Mollie Blackburn

13386 Phelps 632 Rd
Phelps, KY
41553-8603

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Billie patrick

162 N Main St
Tram, KY
41663-9007

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tena Ratliff

294 Rockhouse Frk
Virgie, KY
41572-8712

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

sherry morgan

72 Nelson Branch Rd
Hyden, KY
41749-8206

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/23/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mark Julian

1543 Mason Lewis Rd
Maysville, KY
41056-8556

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Karen Salyer

6416 KY RT 40 W
Staffordsville, KY
41256

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

JulieAnn Sharp

119 Cumberland Ct
Pikeville, KY
41501-1705

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ruth Haddix

81 Philip'S Way
Lost Creek, KY
41348

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Steven Dixon

86 Gum Ave
Hazard, KY
41701-5464

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Pamela Dixon

86 Gum Ave
Hazard, KY
41701-5464

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am not using the letter it gave me with all the proper ways to say what i basically want to say. I would rather write my own statement with my own opinion so here you go.

1. I think the rates are way to high to begin with.
2. I think AEP should not guesstimate our rates when they are actually suppose to read the meter. (Unless there was a way fixed to tell without someone coming out to read them and I just didn't know about it)
3. I think with it being human beings working at AEP they would realize how hard it is already to survive so we don't need no help if that's what you might have thought you was trying to do.
4. I think that AEP should try to help more people with actually cutting the rates especially around Christmas time. Thank you so much especially if you actually listen
Dorothy Mae Spence

Sincerely,

Dorothy Spence

28 O J Pl
Tomahawk, KY
41262-8918

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/24/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Eulene Mays

274 Pine Hill Dr
Jackson, KY
41339-9682

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Brenda Bradford

916 Brenda Sue Dr
Flatwoods, KY
41139-1316

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

vonda cook

103 Ragweed Rd
Whitesburg, KY
41858-8201

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Crystal Horton

1209 Greenhill Rd
Ashland, KY
41102-6925

ROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

beittany king

702 La Gar St
Flatwoods, KY
41139-2120

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/25/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

shirley sturgill

41 Owens Branch Rd 41 Owens Branch Rd
Hindman, KY
41822

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tammy Collett

951 Thompson Rd
Ashland, KY
41101-2210

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Wow, it is difficult to interpret the collection of Kentucky Power proposals as anything but an attempt to hold Kentucky back and undermine progress. As a ratepayer, I want to express strong disagreement with the four proposed rate changes Kentucky Power recently brought to the Commission (case no. 2020-00174).

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The arbitrary 'netting periods' Kentucky Power proposed seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal and discourage growth in this valuable industry. Net metering rates for grid-tied solar customers should be based on careful evaluation of the costs and benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's undermines industry growth and punishes those investing in Kentucky's future.
2. Kentucky would greatly impact eastern Kentucky households by increasing both fixed charge and energy charge of residential bills by 25%. Eastern Kentucky households have a great enough struggle to pay their bills, especially in the depressed pandemic economy. Bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 seems insignificant compared with the punishing actual rates Kentucky Power proposed. Such a treatment of ratepayers is unconscionable.
3. The declining block rate proposals seems nonsensical in the face of efforts to support the economy and encourage development. the proposal disincentivizes energy efficiency and punishes low-energy households. The declining block rate only benefits users who use a lot of electricity in the winter and the benefit is just for three months. The proposal punishes customers who invest in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy. All of us will pay more And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, under any circumstances. Not increasing our rates would be far more helpful to low-income customers than the proposed declining block rate.
4. Kentucky Power does not provide sufficient evidence to show their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure warrants the \$36 million investment. It does not appear that the claim of benefits for residential ratepayers is substantiated. I oppose universal implementation of smart meters, especially if it is

just an excuse for Kentucky Power to simply increase profits while undercutting development of rooftop solar energy, the future of residential energy. Please use your regulatory authority to control Kentucky Power and limit their rate proposal and protect the ratepayers like me.

The global pandemic should not be used as a smokescreen to hide cynical power grabs. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Timothy Hare

213 Jackson Pl
Morehead, KY
40351-1043

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Also, I can't even afford my rates as it is, my bill is equal to my whole paycheck so that doesn't even leave room for rent and other utilities let alone taking care of 3 kids, AEP is supposed to be a family friendly company that cares about it's customer's yet it does nothing for it's customer's to show they care because they continue to increase rates every time they turn around, AEP does not care about us and or what we think, money is all that's on their minds...

Sincerely,

Sandra Spreacker

2220 Horne St
Ashland, KY
41101-3420

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/26/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I strongly oppose the rate increase. It is grossly unfair for AEP/KyPower to force its impoverished rate payers to underwrite the exorbitant costs of its incompetence in not preparing to operate a utility in the face of global warming that has been openly bearing down on us since Rachel Caron wrote Silent Spring in the Sixties.

KyPow is shameless. At the same time it forces the largely financially strapped citizens it serves to pay more, it is blocking solar power that could replace fossil fuel generated energy and save us some money. Really? What a greedy hog is AEP Kentucky Power to stand in the way of any competition. What will that greedy hog do when the slop runs out despite its best efforts to stymie other energy resources? There is a limit to what poor folks can pay and a limit to what financially secure folks are able to endure.

Kentucky Power doesn't deserve a rate increase.

Sincerely,

Sherry Brashear

2326 HIGHWAY 2036
Roxana, KY
41848

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/27/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Randy Taulbee

111 Woodward Ave
Brooksville, KY
41004-8207

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/27/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

I have a tough time paying the bill at the current rates, and I know I'm not the only one.....I personally know several who scrape to be able to pay their electric bill, and have known some of them (as have I) to even go without other necessities to be able to pay it... Please take us into consideration when deciding upon this proposed increase..... Thank You ...

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to

warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

MaLissa Damron

1443 Sugar Camp Rd
Robinson Creek, KY
41560-8510

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/27/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Katherine and Robert Shepherd

288 Tarkiln Rd
Olive Hill, KY
41164-7014

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/27/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Linda Heller Hylton

1000 Beyond Yonder Rd
Hyden, KY
41749-9010

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mildred Varney

51 Stratton Frk
Canada, KY
41519-8343

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Donald Varney

7930 Bayless Hl
Catlettsburg, KY
41129-9132

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/28/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Myra Mosser

254 Treasure Cove Rd
Greenup, KY
41144-8869

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lana Layne

1250 Little Perry Rd
Morehead, KY
40351-7824

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nancy Price

225 State Route 693
Greenup, KY
41144-8026

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Teresa Mullins

13901 Highway 7 S
Kite, KY
41828-8817

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nancy Collins

237 Faulkner Ave
Hazard, KY
41701-1619

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mary Green

1800 Rockhouse Crk
Elkhorn City, KY
41522-7918

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely Lynn Short

Sincerely,

lynn Short

331 Sandlick Branch Rd
Emmalena, KY
41740-8978

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Udell Rogers

1277 Keathley Br
Harold, KY
41635-8873

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make ends meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Smith

33 Hill Street Van Lear Ky
Van Lear, KY
41265

FROM: [REDACTED]

TO: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.info@ky.gov>

SUBJECT: Comments on PSC Case Number 2020-00174

10/29/20

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my thoughts as a ratepayer on the proposed rate change Kentucky Power has recently brought before the Commission, in case no. 2020-00174. I have four main points.

1. Kentucky Power's net metering proposal punishes prospective rooftop solar customers. The 'netting periods' Kentucky Power has proposed are arbitrary and seem designed specifically to give rooftop solar customer generators the worst deal. Any net metering rate for grid-tied solar customers must be grounded in a careful evaluation of the costs AND the benefits that rooftop solar brings to the utility. Kentucky Power's proposal does the opposite.

2. By increasing both the fixed charge and the energy charge of residential bills by 25%, Kentucky Power would be imposing a huge financial impact on eastern Kentucky households already struggling to make end's meet, in the middle of a pandemic. Although their offer of bill forgiveness on accounts more than 30 days late on May 28 is a step in the right direction, the actual rates that Kentucky Power has proposed are neither just, nor fair, to ratepayers like me.

3. I strongly oppose the declining block rate, as it disincentivizes energy efficiency, and punishes low-energy households. Only customers who use a lot of electricity in the winter will be better off under the declining block rate – and just for those three months. But customers who have invested in efficiency, have small homes, or try to conserve energy, will be worse off. And the other 9 months out of the year, all of us will pay more, no matter what. Kentucky Power claims to be helping out low-income customers with their proposed declining block rate—but the best way to help us out would be to not increase our rates at all.

4. Kentucky Power has not adequately proved that their plan to universally deploy advanced metering infrastructure is of sufficient value to residential ratepayers to warrant the \$36 million investment. I oppose the universal implementation of smart meters, particularly if they would be used as an excuse to rack up Kentucky Power's profits and further devalue rooftop solar energy in the future. Please use your regulatory authority to reign in Kentucky Power's rate proposal, which would hurt ratepayers like me in the middle of a global pandemic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Toni E. Smith Smith

1636 Bucks Br
Martin, KY
41649-7807

*Angela M Goad
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate
700 Capitol Avenue
Suite 20
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

*Thomas J FitzGerald
Counsel & Director
Kentucky Resources Council, Inc.
Post Office Box 1070
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602

*Lisa A. Lucas
Administrative Assistant
Jenkins Fenstermaker, PLLC
325 Eighth Street
Huntington, WEST VIRGINIA 25701

*Barry Alan Naum
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
1100 Brent Creek Blvd., Suite 101
Mechanicsburg, PENNSYLVANIA 17050

*Jody Kyler Cohn
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street
Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

*Larry Cook
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate
700 Capitol Avenue
Suite 20
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

*Clay A. Barkley
Strobo Barkley PLLC
239 South 5th Street
Ste 917
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*Joe F Childers
Joe F. Childers & Associates
300 Lexington Building
201 West Short Street
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

*Michael A Frye
Honorable
Jenkins Fenstermaker, PLLC
325 Eighth Street
Huntington, WEST VIRGINIA 25701

*Carrie H Grundmann
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500
Winston-Salem, NORTH CAROLINA 27103

*John Horne
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate
700 Capitol Avenue
Suite 20
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

*Matt Partymiller
President
Kentucky Solar Industries Association
1038 Brentwood Court
Suite B
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40511

*Christen M Blend
American Electric Power Service Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Post Office Box 16631
Columbus, OHIO 43216

*Honorable Kurt J Boehm
Attorney at Law
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street
Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

*J. Michael West
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate
700 Capitol Avenue
Suite 20
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

*Don C Parker
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
300 Kanawha Blvd, East
Charleston, WEST VIRGINIA 25301

*Kentucky Power Company
1645 Winchester Avenue
Ashland, KY 41101

*Honorable Michael L Kurtz
Attorney at Law
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street
Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

*Honorable David Edward Spenard
Strobo Barkley PLLC
239 South 5th Street
Ste 917
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*Katie M Glass
Stites & Harbison
421 West Main Street
P. O. Box 634
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602-0634

*Honorable Mark R Overstreet
Attorney at Law
Stites & Harbison
421 West Main Street
P. O. Box 634
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602-0634

*Robert D. Gladman
American Electric Power Service Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Post Office Box 16631
Columbus, OHIO 43216

*Randal A. Strobo
Strobo Barkley PLLC
239 South 5th Street
Ste 917
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202